

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,826	07/25/2001	Rajarshi Das	YOR920010348US1	7921	
7590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER			
Duke W. Yee			FADOK, MARK A		
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP					
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75	380		3625		
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/915,826	DAS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
		Mark Fadok	3625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ⁷ , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	imunication.	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		received in this National St	age	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ecaived		
	and an analysis and a second for a list of	o. and outlined copies not t	oodiveu.		
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to a method for obtaining rules and attributes and then automatically making a decision based on the information provided, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- II. Claims 21-40, drawn to a computer program product for making including instructions for obtaining rules and attributes the automatically making a decision to purchase, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- III. Claims 41-48, drawn to an apparatus including two storage devices and a controller coupled to the storage devices, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Inventions I and (II, III) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case at least the method of obtaining rules and attributes can be accomplished by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as multiple storage devices and a controller. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I if elected will be further restricted as possessing different patentable species as follows:

Group IA - Claims 1-5,8-20, directed to a method where one or more attributes are dynamically set.

Group IB - Claims 1-4,6,8-20, directed to a method where one or more attributes are fixed.

Group IC - Claims 1-4,7-20, directed to a method where one or more attributes are dynamically set and at least one or more attributes is fixed.

Group II if elected will be further restricted as possessing different patentable species as follows:

Group IIA - Claims 21-25,28-40, directed to a computer program where one or more attributes are dynamically set.

Art Unit: 3625

Group IIB - Claims 21-24,26,28-40, directed to a computer program where one or more attributes are fixed.

Group IIC - Claims 21-24,27-40, directed to a computer program where one or more attributes are dynamically set and at least one or more attributes is fixed.

Group III if elected will be further restricted as possessing different patentable species as follows:

Group IIIA - Claims 41,42,43,47 and 48, directed to an apparatus for automatically selecting a vendor based on history data.

Group IIIB - Claims 41,42,44,47 and 48, directed to an apparatus for automatically selecting a vendor based on exogenous preference information.

Group IIIC - Claims 41,42,45,47 and 48, directed to an apparatus for automatically selecting a vendor based on interoperability mechanism information.

Group IIID - Claims 41,42,46,47 and 48, directed to an apparatus for automatically selecting a vendor based on information collected from a third party using a collection device.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,21 and 42 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3625

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Vincent Millin** can be reached on **(703) 308-1065**.

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok

Patent Examiner

Deffrey A. Smith